

1 DAVID CHIU, SBN 189542  
City Attorney  
2 YVONNE R. MERÉ, SBN 173594  
Chief Deputy City Attorney  
3 SARA J. EISENBERG, SBN 269303  
Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation  
4 RONALD H. LEE, SBN 238720  
Assistant Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation  
5 KARUN A. TILAK, SBN 323939  
MIGUEL A. GRADILLA, SBN 304125  
6 DAVID S. LOUK, SBN 304654  
Deputy City Attorneys  
7 Fox Plaza  
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor  
8 San Francisco, CA 94102-5408  
Telephone: (415) 355-3308 (Tilak)  
9 (415) 554-3870 (Gradilla)  
(415) 355-3314 (Louk)  
10 Facsimile: (415) 437-4644  
E-Mail: Karun.Tilak@sfcityatty.org  
11 Miguel.Gradilla@sfcityatty.org  
David.Louk@sfcityatty.org

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

13 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by  
and through San Francisco City Attorney DAVID CHIU  
14

15 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

16 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

17 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

18 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
19 acting by and through San Francisco City  
Attorney DAVID CHIU,

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 SOL ECOM, INC., BRIVER LLC, ITAI  
23 TECH LTD., DEFIREX OÜ, ITAI OÜ,  
24 AUGUSTIN GRIBINETS, and DOES #1  
through #50,

25 Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  
AND CIVIL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS  
OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE  
SECTION 17200**

1 Plaintiff, the People of the State of California (the “People”), acting by and through San  
2 Francisco City Attorney David Chiu, brings this action against Sol Ecom, Inc., Briver LLC, Itai Tech  
3 Ltd., Defirex OÜ, Itai OÜ, Augustin Gribinets, and Does #1 through #50 (together, the “Defendants”),  
4 and alleges as follows:

## 5 INTRODUCTION

6 1. Rapid advancements in the field of artificial intelligence (“AI”) in recent years have  
7 created immense opportunities for innovation, with major implications for scientific research,  
8 healthcare, education, computing, and beyond.

9 2. Among the most significant developments is the emergence of generative AI models  
10 that have the capacity to create content, be it in the form of text, speech, images, video, or music.

11 3. Despite the potential for generative AI models to improve people’s lives, they also  
12 present new and profound safety and privacy concerns. In particular, some generative AI models have  
13 been released to the public as open source with the goal of fostering innovation and collaboration, but  
14 have been adapted and misused for illegal and harmful purposes.

15 4. One disturbing form of misuse is the adaptation of open-source AI image generation  
16 models to create fake pornographic and sexual abuse content depicting real, identifiable women and  
17 girls, so-called “deepfake pornography” or “deepnudes.”

18 5. These models have led to the proliferation of websites and apps that offer to “undress”  
19 or “nudify” women and girls. By exploiting open-source AI image generation models, these websites  
20 and apps manipulate images of real women and girls without their consent to create photorealistic  
21 images showing these women and girls with AI-generated nude bodies and intimate body parts.

22 6. Defendants operate some of the world’s most popular websites that offer to nudify  
23 images of women and girls. The primary purpose of Defendants’ websites is to create fake, nude  
24 images of women and girls without their consent. Defendants tout their ability to let users “see anyone  
25 naked.” As one Defendant puts it: “[i]magine wasting time taking her out on dates, when you can just  
26 use [the website] to get her nudes.” Collectively, these sites have been visited over 200 million times  
27 just in the first six months of 2024.

1           7.       Nonconsensual intimate images (“NCII”) generated from Defendants’ websites—and  
2 other similar websites—are used to bully, threaten, and humiliate women and girls.<sup>1</sup> In California and  
3 across the country, there has been a stark increase in the number of women and girls harassed and  
4 victimized by AI-generated NCII, and this distressing trend shows no sign of abating. For example, in  
5 February 2024, AI-generated nude images of sixteen eighth-grade students were circulated among  
6 students at a California middle school.<sup>2</sup> Reports of the use of AI-generated NCII to target and bully  
7 schoolchildren—primarily girls—in California and across the country abound.<sup>3</sup> The Federal Bureau  
8 of Investigation has also warned of an uptick in instances of extortion schemes where bad actors use  
9 public social media pictures of their victims to create AI-generated nude and sexually explicit images  
10 and threaten to release the images if the victims do not pay them.<sup>4</sup>

---

12           <sup>1</sup> Coralie Kraft, *Trolls Used Her Face to Make Fake Porn. There Was Nothing She Could Do*,  
13 The New York Times Magazine (July 31, 2024), <https://www.nytimes.com/2024/07/31/magazine/sabrina-javellana-florida-politics-ai-porn.html> (archived at <https://perma.cc/5XNQ-22RB>).

14           <sup>2</sup> Jon Healey, *Beverly Hills School District Expels 8th Graders Involved In Fake Nude Scandal*,  
15 Los Angeles Times (Mar. 7, 2024), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2024-03-07/beverly-hills-school-district-expels-8th-graders-involved-in-fake-nude-scandal> (archived at <https://perma.cc/57AT-NMXE>).

16           <sup>3</sup> Howard Blume, *L.A. School District Probes Inappropriate Images Shared at Fairfax High. More AI Abuse?*,  
17 Los Angeles Times (Apr. 9, 2024), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2024-04-09/student-generated-inappropriate-ai-image-of-girls-at-fairfax-high> (archived at <https://perma.cc/B8CK-68E6>); Bridget Chavez, *No Charges as AI-Generated Nude Pictures of Female Students Circulate Around Issaquah School*,  
18 KIRO7.com (Nov. 9, 2023), <https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/no-charges-ai-generated-nude-pictures-female-students-circulate-around-issaquah-school/MCQTOKWRVREPTK3K2IAQWTRR6U/> (archived at <https://perma.cc/84CJ-WQXL>); Hannah Fry, *Laguna Beach High School Investigates ‘Inappropriate’ AI-generated Images of Students*,  
19 Los Angeles Times (Apr. 2, 2024), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2024-04-02/laguna-beach-high-school-investigating-creation-of-ai-generated-images-of-students> (archived at  
20 <https://perma.cc/S4EG-KUY7>); Josh Haskell, *Calabasas Teen Says Classmate Not Disciplined For Sharing Real and Fake Nude Images of Her*,  
21 ABC7.com (Mar. 14, 2024), <https://abc7.com/calabasas-high-school-student-accuses-classmate-sharing-real-and-fake-nude-photos/14521422/> (archived at  
22 <https://perma.cc/S68X-8V9B>); Anthony Johnson, *Call for Action at Westfield High School After AI Used to Make Fake Pornographic Images of Girls*,  
23 ABC7NY.com (Nov. 3, 2023), <https://abc7ny.com/nj-westfield-high-school-artificial-intelligence-pornographic-images/14009286/>  
24 (archived at <https://perma.cc/G9XZ-4D3G>); Liz Landers et al., *A 15-year-old’s Prom Picture was Altered into AI-created Nudes*,  
25 Scripps News (May 22, 2024), <https://www.scrippsnews.com/politics/disinformation-desk/high-schools-nationwide-are-facing-a-new-problem-ai-generated-nudes> (archived at  
26 <https://perma.cc/HSV8-X56K>).

27           <sup>4</sup> James Vincent, *Blackmailers are Using Deepfaked Nudes to Bully and Extort Victims, Warns FBI*,  
28 The Verge (June 8, 2023), <https://www.theverge.com/2023/6/8/23753605/ai-deepfake-sextortion-nude-blackmail-fbi-warning> (archived at <https://perma.cc/9E4N-DDHX>).

1 8. Victims have little to no recourse, as they face significant obstacles to remove these  
2 images once they have been disseminated. They are left with profound psychological, emotional,  
3 economic, and reputational harms, and without control and autonomy over their bodies and images.  
4 As one victim explained, “I felt like I didn’t have a choice in what happened to me or what happened  
5 to my body.”<sup>5</sup> Another emphasized that she and her family live in “hopelessness and perpetual fear  
6 that, at any time, such images can reappear and be viewed by countless others.”<sup>6</sup>

7 9. Given the widespread availability and popularity of Defendants’ websites, San  
8 Franciscans and Californians face the threat that they or their loved ones may be victimized in this  
9 manner.

10 10. Defendants’ conduct violates state and federal laws prohibiting the creation, possession,  
11 disclosure, and distribution of AI-generated NCII and similar forms of sexual abuse and harassment.

12 11. The People bring this case to hold Defendants accountable for creating and distributing  
13 AI-generated NCII of women and girls and for aiding and abetting others in perpetrating this conduct.

#### 14 **PARTIES**

15 12. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco  
16 City Attorney David Chiu, prosecutes this action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section  
17 17200 *et seq.*

18 13. Defendant Sol Ecom, Inc. (“Sol Ecom”) is a corporation organized under the laws of  
19 the state of Florida with its principal place of business at 610 South Main Street, Apartment 730, Los  
20 Angeles, California, 90014. Sol Ecom owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces  
21 AI-generated NCII of adults.

22 14. Defendant Briver LLC (“Briver”) is a corporation organized under the laws of the state  
23 of New Mexico with its principal place of business at 530-B Harkle Road, Suite 100, Santa Fe, New  
24

25  
26 <sup>5</sup> Coralie Kraft, *supra* n.1.

27 <sup>6</sup> Charles Toutant, *An AI Took Her Clothes Off. Now a New Lawsuit Will Test Rules for*  
28 *Deepfake Porn*, Law.com (Feb. 5, 2024), <https://www.law.com/njlawjournal/2024/02/05/an-ai-took-her-clothes-off-now-a-new-lawsuit-will-test-rules-for-deepfake-porn/?sreturn=20240704180530>, (archived at <https://perma.cc/7ENN-Y6VH>).

1 Mexico 87505. Briver owns and operates the websites [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], which produce  
2 AI-generated NCII of adults and children.

3 15. Defendant Itai Tech Ltd. (“Itai Tech”) is a corporation organized under the laws of the  
4 United Kingdom with its registered office address at 81 Anthony Drive, Norwich, England, United  
5 Kingdom, NR3 4EW. Itai Tech owns and operates the websites [REDACTED],  
6 [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. The website [REDACTED] produces AI-generated NCII of adults. The  
7 website [REDACTED] produces AI-generated NCII of adults and children. On information and belief,  
8 the websites [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] produce AI-generated NCII of adults.

9 16. Defendant Defirex OÜ (“Defirex”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Estonia  
10 with a registered address at Vesivärava tn 50-301, Kesklinna linnaosa, 10152 Tallinn and a contact  
11 address at Väike-Paala tn 2, Lasnamäe linnaosa, 11415 Tallinn. Defirex owns and operates the  
12 website [REDACTED]. On information and belief, [REDACTED] produces AI-generated NCII of  
13 adults.

14 17. Defendant Itai OÜ is a corporation organized under the laws of Estonia with a contact  
15 address of Järvevana tee 9, Kesklinna linnaosa, 11314 Tallinn. Itai OÜ has a registered address of  
16 Branka Bajića 9e, Novi Sad, Serbia. Itai OÜ owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which  
17 produces AI-generated NCII of adults.

18 18. Defendant Augustin Gribinets (“Gribinets”) is a resident of Estonia. Gribinets owns  
19 and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces AI-generated NCII of adults and children.

20 19. Defendant Doe #1 owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces AI-  
21 generated NCII of adults and children. The People are not currently aware of the true identity of Doe  
22 #1, and therefore sue this Defendant under a fictitious name. The People will seek leave to amend this  
23 complaint to allege Doe #1’s true identity when that information is ascertained.

24 20. Defendant Doe #2 owns and operates the websites [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], which  
25 produce AI-generated NCII of adults and children. The People are not currently aware of the true  
26 identity of Doe #2, and therefore sue this Defendant under a fictitious name. The People will seek  
27 leave to amend this complaint to allege Doe #2’s true identity when that information is ascertained.

28

1           21. Defendant Doe #3 owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces AI-  
2 generated NCII of adults and children. The People are not currently aware of the true identity of Doe  
3 #3, and therefore sue this Defendant under a fictitious name. The People will seek leave to amend this  
4 complaint to allege Doe #3's true identity when that information is ascertained.

5           22. Defendant Doe #4 owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces AI-  
6 generated NCII of adults and children. The People are not currently aware of the true identity of Doe  
7 #4, and therefore sue this Defendant under a fictitious name. The People will seek leave to amend this  
8 complaint to allege Doe #4's true identity when that information is ascertained.

9           23. Defendant Doe #5 owns and operates the website [REDACTED], which produces AI-  
10 generated NCII of adults. The People are not currently aware of the true identity of Doe #5, and  
11 therefore sue this Defendant under a fictitious name. The People will seek leave to amend this  
12 complaint to allege Doe #5's true identity when that information is ascertained.

13           24. The People are not aware of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued as Does  
14 #6 through #50, inclusive, and therefore sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. Each  
15 fictitiously named Defendant is responsible in some manner for the violations of law alleged. The  
16 People will seek leave to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when that  
17 information is ascertained.

18           25. Whenever this Complaint refers to "Defendants," such reference shall include Does 1  
19 through 50 as well as the named Defendants.

20           26. Whenever this complaint refers to any act of any corporate defendant, such allegation  
21 shall be deemed to mean that such corporate defendant did the acts alleged in the complaint through its  
22 officers, directors, agents, employees, and/or representatives while they were acting within the actual  
23 or ostensible scope of their authority.

24           27. On information and belief, each Defendant owns and operates other websites that  
25 produce AI-generated NCII of adults and/or children. The People will seek leave to amend this  
26 complaint to identify these additional websites when that information is ascertained.

1 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2 28. The San Francisco Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action. Upon information  
3 and belief, Defendants are engaging in unlawful and unfair business practices in San Francisco, and  
4 the San Francisco City Attorney has statutory authority to prosecute this case on behalf of the People.

5 29. Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, the unlawful  
6 conduct occurred in San Francisco and elsewhere in California.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 **I. The Development and Misuse of Open-Source AI Image Generation Models**

9 30. Among the most significant recent developments in AI is the advent of highly  
10 sophisticated generative AI models. While the specific computing techniques used in these models  
11 differ, in general these models are fed vast quantities of data and are trained to detect patterns and  
12 generate new content that mimics the information they have ingested.

13 31. Several companies have deployed this technology to develop AI models specifically  
14 designed to generate images or edit existing images based on text prompts from users. These models  
15 are trained on enormous datasets consisting of hundreds of millions of images and associated text from  
16 the Internet, and learn to recognize features of these images and the text descriptions associated with  
17 such features.

18 32. Several such models are made available to the public as “open-source” models. With  
19 an open-source model, any member of the public can adapt and train a specific implementation of that  
20 model on additional images in order to hone (or “fine tune”) its ability to generate specific kinds of  
21 content. These models can be modified and adapted by anyone for almost any purpose.  
22 Consequently, these open-source models have been adapted and trained to create new fine-tuned  
23 versions that are highly effective at generating pornographic content.<sup>7</sup> Even where the creators of  
24 these open-source models subsequently incorporate safeguards into new releases of the model, earlier  
25

26 <sup>7</sup> Will Knight, *This Uncensored AI Art Tool Can Generate Fantasies—and Nightmares*, Wired  
27 (Sept. 21, 2022), <https://www.wired.com/story/the-joy-and-dread-of-ai-image-generators-without-limits/>  
(archived at <https://perma.cc/7MBU-CHRV>); Lydia Morrish, *The Dark Side of Open Source AI Image Generators*, Wired (Mar. 6, 2024), <https://www.wired.com/story/dark-side-open-source-ai-image-generators/>  
28 (archived at <https://perma.cc/CUN9-VHMA>).

1 releases—and fine-tuned versions trained to generate pornographic content—continue to circulate  
2 online.

3 33. These highly popular fine-tuned versions generate not only pornographic content  
4 involving fictitious AI-generated individuals, but also manipulate images of real people to produce  
5 fictional pornographic content that depicts those individuals. The models are able to recognize  
6 clothing and body features in an image of a person, and can be further conditioned to manipulate the  
7 image to generate a fake, photorealistic image that maintains the person’s face, but replaces their  
8 clothed body with a nude body—thus appearing to “undress” the person and display their intimate  
9 body parts. These models “undress” or “nudify” not only adults, but also children.

10 34. These manipulated intimate images are generated without the consent of the persons  
11 depicted, resulting in the creation and dissemination of AI-generated NCII of these individuals.

12 35. The availability of these fine-tuned versions designed to create AI-generated NCII has  
13 spawned the development of websites dedicated to creating “nudified” images of women and girls.<sup>8</sup>  
14 These websites offer user-friendly interfaces for uploading clothed images of real people to generate  
15 realistic “nudified” versions of those images. These websites require users to subscribe or pay to  
16 generate nude images. Some websites give users a “free trial,” allowing them to create a limited  
17 number of free images as a way of enticing them to pay for additional images.

18 **II. Defendants Operate Popular Websites Used to Nudify Images of Women and Girls**

19 36. Defendants operate some of the world’s most popular websites specifically designed to  
20 generate and distribute AI-generated NCII of women and girls.

21 **Sol Ecom**

22 37. Sol Ecom owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 12  
23 million visits in the first six months of 2024.

24 38. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google, Discord, or X  
25 accounts.

26  
27  
28 <sup>8</sup> Santiago Lakatos, *A Revealing Picture*, Graphica (Dec. 8, 2023), <https://graphika.com/reports/a-revealing-picture> (archived at <https://perma.cc/5BCN-G4R3>).

1           39.     The website allows users to “deepnude girl[s] for free” by uploading an image and  
2 using the website’s AI technology to “undress” the image. Users are invited to upload a photo with  
3 the message: “Have someone to undress?” Sol Ecom provides step-by-step instructions on how to  
4 select images that will provide “good” quality nudified results.

5           40.     Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will  
6 create a fake nude image of the subject.

7           41.     Sol Ecom allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
8 which users must purchase credits (or “coins”) in order to generate additional images. On information  
9 and belief, users can purchase credits from Sol Ecom, or “dealers” affiliated with Sol Ecom, through a  
10 variety of mobile and online payments platforms, including Apple Pay, PayPal, Venmo, and Cash  
11 App, or using cryptocurrency.

12           42.     While the [REDACTED] website purports to require users to obtain consent for the  
13 images they use, Sol Ecom in fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does not verify  
14 that the depicted individual has consented to the nudification of her image.

15           43.     Sol Ecom knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create  
16 AI-generated NCII of identifiable women.

17           44.     Sol Ecom intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
18 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women to  
19 users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress to the  
20 depicted individuals if disclosed.

21           **Briver**

22           45.     Briver owns and operates the websites [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had  
23 approximately 4.85 million visits during the first six months of 2024. [REDACTED] had close to  
24 670,000 views during that time period.

25           46.     Briver promotes [REDACTED] as a tool to “See Anybody Nude for FREE” and claims  
26 that its technology “can undress any photo you upload.” Briver likewise claims that the [REDACTED]  
27 website provides the “Best Undress AI Tool” to “Nudify Anyone in Seconds.”

1 47. Users can access [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google  
2 accounts.

3 48. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], and the  
4 sites will create fake nude images of the subject.

5 49. Because Briver has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
6 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], and the  
7 sites will create fake nude images of the subject.

8 50. Briver allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
9 which users must purchase credits (or “gems”) in order to generate additional images. On information  
10 and belief, users can purchase credits from Briver using their credit cards, PayPal, or cryptocurrency.

11 51. While the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] websites purport to require users to obtain  
12 consent for the images they use, Briver in fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does  
13 not verify that the depicted individual has consented to the nudification of her image. Indeed, both  
14 websites emphasize that they can be used to “see anybody nude” and “nudify anyone.”

15 52. Briver knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] is  
16 to create AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

17 53. Briver intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
18 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, nonconsensual nude images of identifiable  
19 women and girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe  
20 emotional distress to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

21 **Itai Tech**

22 54. Itai Tech owns and operates a number of websites that offer to nudify images of clothed  
23 people, including, but not limited to, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED].

24 55. In the first six months of 2024, [REDACTED] had 26.6 million visits, [REDACTED] had  
25 nearly 15.5 million visits, [REDACTED] had 14.4 million visits, and [REDACTED] had 133,924 visits.

26 56. Itai Tech promotes the [REDACTED] website as a way to “[s]ee anybody nude for free.”  
27 Similarly, on [REDACTED], Itai Tech claims that users can “[s]ee anyone naked with the most realistic  
28 undress AI app.” On the [REDACTED] website, Itai Tech displays a visual depiction of how the

1 website’s “Deepnude AI” technology nudifies images of a clothed woman, with the tagline “[j]ust  
2 upload a picture and get the result.” And Itai Tech touts its ability to “[e]ffortlessly produce authentic  
3 undressed AI images” on the [REDACTED] website.

4 57. Users can access [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] by signing in using their  
5 Google or Discord accounts.

6 58. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
7 fake nude image of the subject.

8 59. Itai Tech allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images on [REDACTED]  
9 for free, after which users must purchase credits using a subscription plan in order to generate  
10 additional images. On information and belief, users can purchase credits from Itai Tech using PayPal  
11 or cryptocurrency.

12 60. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create  
13 a fake nude image of the subject.

14 61. Because Itai Tech has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
15 users can upload an image of a girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED], and the site will create a fake  
16 nude image of the subject.

17 62. Itai Tech allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images on [REDACTED]  
18 for free, after which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On  
19 information and belief, users can purchase credits from Itai Tech using cryptocurrency.

20 63. On information and belief, users can upload an image of a clothed woman to  
21 [REDACTED], and the site will create a fake nude image of the subject.

22 64. On information and belief, users can upload an image of a clothed woman to  
23 [REDACTED], and the site will create a fake nude image of the subject.

24 65. Itai Tech allows users to partially “undress” images of clothed women for free on the  
25 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] websites, generating AI-modified versions of these images depicting  
26 the women in bikinis or lingerie. In order to generate a nude image, users must purchase credits  
27 through a subscription plan. On information and belief, users can purchase credits from Itai Tech  
28 using cryptocurrency.

1           66. While Itai Tech purports to require users to obtain consent for the images they use, it in  
2 fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does not verify that the depicted individual has  
3 consented to the nudification of her image. Indeed, its websites emphasize the ability to see  
4 “anybody” and “anyone” naked.

5           67. Itai Tech knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
6 [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] is to create AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

7           68. Itai Tech intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
8 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
9 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
10 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

11           69. On information and belief, Itai Tech operates other websites that nudify images of  
12 women and girls without their consent, and through which Itai Tech intentionally creates and  
13 distributes, and aids and abets the creation of, AI-generated NCII that it knows will traumatize and  
14 cause severe emotional distress to depicted individuals.

15           **Defirex**

16           70. Defirex owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had 18.8 million  
17 visitors in the first six months of 2024.

18           71. Defirex promotes [REDACTED] as a website to “[g]enerate highly-realistic AI nudes in  
19 a few clicks.”

20           72. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google, Discord, or Apple  
21 accounts.

22           73. On information and belief, users can upload an image of a clothed woman to  
23 [REDACTED], and the site will create a fake nude image of the subject.

24           74. Defirex allows users to partially “undress” images of clothed women for free on the  
25 [REDACTED] website, generating AI-modified versions of these images depicting women in bikinis or  
26 lingerie. In order to generate a nude image, users must purchase credits through a subscription plan.  
27 On information and belief, users can purchase credits from Defirex using PayPal, credit or debit cards,  
28 or cryptocurrency.

1           75.     While the [REDACTED] website purports to require users to obtain consent for the  
2 images they use, Defirex in fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does not verify that  
3 the depicted individual has consented to the nudification of her image.

4           76.     Defirex knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create AI-  
5 generated NCII of identifiable women.

6           77.     Defirex intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
7 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women to  
8 users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress to the  
9 depicted individuals if disclosed.

### 10           Itai OÜ

11           78.     Itai OÜ owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 6.1  
12 million visits in the first six months of 2024.

13           79.     Itai OÜ promotes [REDACTED]'s "unparalleled precision in generating deepfake  
14 nudes," and claims that the website creates "AI-generated photos that simulate undressing, available  
15 for anyone online."

16           80.     Users can access the [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google or Discord  
17 accounts.

18           81.     Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will  
19 create a fake nude image of the subject.

20           82.     Itai OÜ allows users to generate a blurred nudified image for free. In order to reveal  
21 the nudified image or generate additional images, users must purchase credits. On information and  
22 belief, users can purchase credits from Itai OÜ using PayPal, Apple Pay, Google Pay, credit or debit  
23 cards, or cryptocurrency.

24           83.     Itai OÜ fails to verify that depicted individuals in the images generated by  
25 [REDACTED] have consented to the nudification of their respective images.

26           84.     Itai OÜ knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create AI-  
27 generated NCII of identifiable women.

1 85. Itai OÜ intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
2 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women to  
3 users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress to the  
4 depicted individuals if disclosed.

5 **Gribinets**

6 86. Gribinets owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 5.8  
7 million visits in the first six months of 2024.

8 87. Gribinets claims that [REDACTED] will “[u]ndress anyone” and “remove[s] clothes  
9 from any photo within seconds.”

10 88. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google, Discord, or Telegram  
11 accounts.

12 89. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will  
13 create a fake nude image of the subject.

14 90. Because Gribinets has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
15 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED], and the site will  
16 generate a fake nude image of the subject.

17 91. Gribinets allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
18 which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On information and belief,  
19 users can purchase credits from Gribinets using credit or debit cards, mobile and online payment  
20 services like Cash App or Link, and cryptocurrency.

21 92. While the [REDACTED] website purports to require users to obtain consent for the  
22 images they use, Gribinets in fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does not verify  
23 that the depicted individual has consented to the nudification of her image.

24 93. Gribinets knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create  
25 AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and girls. Indeed, the website specifically promotes its use  
26 to generate nonconsensual images, stating “Imagine wasting time taking her out on dates, when you  
27 can just use [REDACTED] to get her nudes.”  
28

1 94. Gribinets intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
2 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
3 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
4 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

5 **Doe #1**

6 95. Doe #1 owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 32 million  
7 visits in the first six months of 2024.

8 96. Doe #1 promotes [REDACTED] as “the best free AI deepnude nudifier to see anyone  
9 naked.”

10 97. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google accounts.

11 98. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create  
12 a fake nude image of the subject.

13 99. Because Doe #1 has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
14 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED], and the site will create  
15 a fake nude image of the subject.

16 100. Doe #1 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
17 which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On information and belief,  
18 users can purchase credits from Doe #1 using cryptocurrency.

19 101. Doe #1 fails to verify that depicted individuals in the images generated by [REDACTED]  
20 have consented to the nudification of their respective images. In fact, Doe #1 promotes the website as  
21 a way to see “anyone” naked.

22 102. Doe #1 knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create AI-  
23 generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

24 103. Doe #1 intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
25 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
26 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
27 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

28

1           **Doe #2**

2           104. Doe #2 owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 22.5 million visits  
3 in the first six months of 2024.

4           105. Doe #2 advertises [REDACTED] as a website to “create your own AI porn.”

5           106. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google accounts.

6           107. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
7 fake nude image of the subject.

8           108. Because Doe #2 has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
9 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED] and the site will create a  
10 fake nude image of the subject.

11           109. Doe #2 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free on  
12 [REDACTED], after which users must purchase credits (or “tokens”) in order to generate additional images.  
13 On information and belief, users can purchase credits from Doe #2 using their bank cards or  
14 cryptocurrency.

15           110. On or after May 22, 2024, Doe #2 moved the “Undress” feature from [REDACTED] to a new  
16 website called [REDACTED]. However, on the [REDACTED] website, Doe #2 assures users that “everything’s  
17 staying the same for you: same functionality, prices, personal area, and features.” The [REDACTED]  
18 website claims to be the “ultimate undress tool” that allows users to “[s]ee anyone fully naked in  
19 seconds.” It invites users to “[p]ick age, body type, and more for your perfect deepnude.” [REDACTED]  
20 has accumulated 166,000 views in the last three months.

21           111. Like [REDACTED], users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google accounts.

22           112. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
23 fake nude image of the subject.

24           113. Because Doe #2 has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
25 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED] and the site will create a  
26 fake nude image of the subject.

1 114. Doe #2 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free on  
2 ██████████, after which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On  
3 information and belief, users can purchase credits from Doe #2 using cryptocurrency.

4 115. While the ██████████ and ██████████ websites purport to require users to obtain consent for  
5 the images they use, Doe #2 in fact accepts any image that a user wants to nudify and does not verify  
6 that the depicted individual has consented to the nudification of her image. In fact, ██████████ makes  
7 clear that it can be used to “[s]ee anyone fully naked.”

8 116. Doe #2 knows that the primary purpose of websites like ██████████ and ██████████ is to  
9 create AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

10 117. Doe #2 intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
11 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
12 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
13 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

14 **Doe #3**

15 118. Doe #3 owns and operates the website ██████████. ██████████ had almost 13.5  
16 million visits in the first six months of 2024.

17 119. Doe #3 promotes ██████████ as a tool to “see anyone naked” and claims that the  
18 website uses “the most powerful image deepfake AI” to allow users to “[s]ee any girl clothless [sic]  
19 with the click of a button.” The website provides users with instructions to select images that will  
20 produce high-quality nudified images.

21 120. Users can access ██████████ using their email addresses.

22 121. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to ██████████, and the site will create  
23 a fake nude image of the subject.

24 122. Because Doe #3 has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
25 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to ██████████, and the site will create  
26 a fake nude image of the subject.

1 123. Doe #3 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
2 which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On information and belief,  
3 users can purchase credits from Doe #3 using cryptocurrency.

4 124. Doe #3 fails to verify that depicted individuals in the images generated by [REDACTED]  
5 have consented to the nudification of their respective images. In fact, Doe #3 promotes the website as  
6 a way to see “any girl clothless [sic].”

7 125. Doe #3 knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create AI-  
8 generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

9 126. Doe #3 intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
10 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
11 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
12 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

13 **Doe #4**

14 127. Doe #4 owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had over 20 million visits  
15 in the first six months of 2024.

16 128. Doe #4 promotes [REDACTED] as a website to “undress any photos,” and invites visitors to  
17 “[u]ndress any girl photo or image to AI nudes NOW.” The website’s FAQs further confirm that the  
18 site will “remove clothing from a girl’s photo.”

19 129. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google accounts.

20 130. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
21 fake nude image of the subject.

22 131. Because Doe #4 has failed to deploy available technology to detect images of minors,  
23 users can upload an image of a clothed girl under 18 years old to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
24 fake nude image of the subject.

25 132. Doe #4 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
26 which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. On information and belief,  
27 users can purchase credits from Doe #4 using cryptocurrency.

1 133. Doe #4 fails to verify that depicted individuals in the images generated by [REDACTED]  
2 have consented to the nudification of their respective images. In fact, Doe #4 promotes the website as  
3 a way to “undress any photos.”

4 134. Doe #4 knows that the primary purpose of websites like [REDACTED] is to create AI-  
5 generated NCII of identifiable women and girls.

6 135. Doe #4 intentionally creates and distributes to, or otherwise knowingly encourages,  
7 enables, and facilitates the creation and distribution of, AI-generated NCII of identifiable women and  
8 girls to users with the knowledge that these images will traumatize and cause severe emotional distress  
9 to the depicted individuals if disclosed.

10 **Doe #5**

11 136. Doe #5 owns and operates the website [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] had approximately 26.9  
12 million visits in the first six months of 2024.

13 137. Doe #5 promotes [REDACTED] as a website to “undress any photo with deepnude AI for  
14 free.” Users are invited to upload a photo with the message: “Have someone to undress?” Doe #5  
15 provides step-by-step instructions on how to select images that will provide “good” quality nudified  
16 results.

17 138. Users can access [REDACTED] by signing in using their Google, Apple, Discord, and X  
18 accounts.

19 139. Users can upload an image of a clothed woman to [REDACTED], and the site will create a  
20 fake nude image of the subject.

21 140. [REDACTED] also offers users the option to modify the image into various enumerated  
22 “sex pose[s].” On information and belief, users can upload an image of a clothed woman to  
23 [REDACTED] and the site will create a fake nude image of the subject in a sexually explicit pose.

24 141. Doe #5 allows users to generate a limited number of nudified images for free, after  
25 which users must purchase credits in order to generate additional images. Users can also pay for “VIP  
26 coins” to unlock certain features, such as the ability to modify clothed images to depict sexually  
27 explicit poses. On information and belief, users can purchase credits from Doe #5 using PayPal,  
28 Apple Pay, Cash App, Venmo, Wise, AliPay, and cryptocurrency.



1 abetted violations of California Civil Code section 1708.85(a) by their acts and  
2 practices set forth herein.

3 c. Defendants have violated California Penal Code section 647(j)(4) prohibiting the  
4 intentional distribution of nonconsensual depictions of intimate body parts of an  
5 identifiable person, or aided and abetted violations of California Penal section 647(j)(4)  
6 in violation of California Penal Code section 31 by the acts and practices set forth  
7 herein.

8 d. Defendants have violated 15 U.S.C. § 6851(b)(1) prohibiting the knowing or reckless  
9 disclosure in interstate commerce of intimate visual depictions of identifiable persons,  
10 or aided and abetted violations of 15 U.S.C. § 6851(b)(1) by the acts and practices set  
11 forth herein.

12 148. Defendants have engaged in and continue to engage in unfair business acts and  
13 practices in violation of section 17200. Defendants' acts and practices of creating nudified images  
14 constitute unfair business practices because they offend established public policy, the harm they cause  
15 to consumers greatly outweighs any benefits associated with those practices, and they are immoral,  
16 unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to consumers.

17 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 17200**

19 **AGAINST DEFENDANTS BRIVER LLC, ITAI TECH LTD., AUGUSTIN GRIBINETS,**

20 **DOE #1, DOE #2, DOE #3 & DOE #4**

21 149. The People incorporate by reference the allegations contained in each paragraph above,  
22 as if those allegations were fully set forth in this cause of action.

23 150. California Business and Professions Code section 17200 prohibits any "unlawful,  
24 unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice."

25 151. Defendants Briver LLC, Itai Tech Ltd., Augustin Gribinets, Doe #1, Doe #2, Doe #3,  
26 and Doe #4 are engaged in and continue to engage in unlawful business acts and practices in violation  
27 of section 17200. Such acts and practices include, but are not limited to, the following:  
28

- 1 a. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated California Penal Code section  
2 311.3(a) prohibiting the knowing development of nonconsensual obscene images of  
3 persons under the age of 18 years, or aided and abetted violations of California Penal  
4 section 311.3(a) in violation of California Penal Code section 31 by the acts and  
5 practices set forth herein.
- 6 b. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated California Penal Code section  
7 311.2(a) prohibiting the knowing distribution of obscene images, or aided and abetted  
8 violations of California Penal section 311.2(a) in violation of California Penal Code  
9 section 31 by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 10 c. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated California Penal Code section  
11 311.2(b) prohibiting the knowing distribution for commercial gain of obscene images  
12 depicting persons under the age of 18 years engaged in sexual conduct, or aided and  
13 abetted violations of California Penal section 311.2(b) in violation of California Penal  
14 Code section 31 by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 15 d. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated California Penal Code section  
16 311.2(c) prohibiting the knowing distribution to adults of images depicting persons  
17 under the age of 18 years engaged in sexual conduct, or aided and abetted violations of  
18 California Penal section 311.2(c) in violation of California Penal Code section 31 by  
19 the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 20 e. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 1465 prohibiting the  
21 knowing production of any obscene images with the intent to distribute by interactive  
22 computer service, or aided and abetted violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1465 in violation of 18  
23 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 24 f. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 1466 prohibiting  
25 engaging in the business of distributing, or knowingly producing with intent to  
26 distribute, any obscene images by interactive computer service, or aided and abetted  
27 violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1466 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices  
28 set forth herein.

- 1 g. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 1466A(a)(1) prohibiting  
2 the knowing distribution of, or production with intent to distribute, obscene depictions  
3 of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct that were produced by computer, or  
4 aided and abetted violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1466A(a)(1) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a)  
5 by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 6 h. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 1466A(b)(1) prohibiting  
7 the knowing possession of obscene depictions of minors engaging in sexually explicit  
8 conduct that were produced by computer, or aided and abetted violations of 18 U.S.C.  
9 § 1466A(b)(1) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 10 i. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(1) prohibiting  
11 the knowing distribution of any child pornography by computer, or aided and abetted  
12 violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(1) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and  
13 practices set forth herein.
- 14 j. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2) prohibiting  
15 the knowing receipt or distribution of any child pornography or materials containing  
16 child pornography by computer, or aided and abetted violations of 18 U.S.C.  
17 § 2252A(a)(2) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 18 k. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(4)(B)  
19 prohibiting the knowing sale of any child pornography by computer, or aided and  
20 abetted violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(4)(B) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the  
21 acts and practices set forth herein.
- 22 l. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(5)(B) prohibiting  
23 the knowing possession of child pornography that was produced or distributed by  
24 computer, or aided and abetted violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(5)(B) in violation of 18  
25 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices set forth herein.
- 26 m. Each of the above-named Defendants has violated 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(7) prohibiting  
27 the knowing production or distribution of any adapted or modified images of child  
28 pornography of identifiable minors by computer, or aided and abetted violations of 18

1 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(7) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2(a) by the acts and practices set forth  
2 herein.

3 152. Defendants Briver LLC, Itai Tech Ltd., Augustin Gribinets, Doe #1, Doe #2, Doe #3,  
4 and Doe #4 are engaged in and continue to engage in unfair business acts and practices in violation of  
5 section 17200. Each of the above-named Defendants' acts and practices of creating nudified images  
6 of children constitute unfair business practices because they offend established public policy, the harm  
7 they cause to consumers greatly outweighs any benefits associated with those practices, and they are  
8 immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to consumers.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 The People respectfully request that the Court enter judgment in favor of the People and  
11 against Defendants, jointly and severally, and grant the following relief:

12 1. Enjoin all Defendants, their successors, agents, representatives, employees, and any and  
13 all other persons who act in concert or participation with Defendants by preliminarily and permanently  
14 restraining them from performing or proposing to perform any acts in violation of California Business  
15 and Professions Code section 17200 as set forth above, including but not limited to ceasing operation  
16 of all websites they own or operate that are capable of creating AI-generated NCII of identifiable  
17 individuals.

18 2. Order that any domain-name registrars, domain-name registries, webhosts, payment  
19 processors, or companies providing user authentication and authorization services or interfaces who  
20 are provided with notice of the injunction, shall take all actions necessary to restrain Defendants from  
21 performing or proposing to perform any unlawful or unfair business practices in violation of California  
22 Business and Professions Code section 17200, including but not limited to ceasing to facilitate access  
23 to any websites owned or operated by Defendants that are capable of creating AI-generated NCII of  
24 identifiable individuals.

25 3. Order each Defendant to pay a civil penalty of \$2,500 for each violation of California  
26 Business and Professions Code section 17200.

27 4. Order Defendants to pay the costs of suit; and  
28

